

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,868 10/03/2003		Karen Lynn DeMartini	5863-00101	1284	
35690 MEVERTONS	7590 02/22/2007 HOOD KIVI IN KOV	EXAMINER			
MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C. 700 LAVACA, SUITE 800			EL ARINI, ZEINAB		
AUSTIN, TX 7	78701	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1746			
		•			
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			02/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/678,868	DEMARTINI, KAREN LYNN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Zeinab E. EL-Arini	1746	:	

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	— <u> </u>		
1	before the rining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Zeinab E. EL-Arini	1746	:
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 06 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	-
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)				
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
nave t under set for may re	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecalise
	(a) \square They raise new issues that would require further co (b) \square They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
	 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			tne issues for
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
3. 🔲			timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🔲	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected:			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ned.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
			Zeinab Elas Zeinab E. EL-Arini Primary Examiner	ini
			Art Unit: 1746	

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's argument with respect to Miller does not teach a method comprising a leak detection device diverting the fluid to a location external to the leak detection device that is visible to the user of the device, is unpersuasive for the reason set forth in Fig. 2, 9, 10 (visible open grate 60), col. 2, lines 3-7, 39-44, col. 3, lines 19-23, lines 62-68, col. 4, lines 9-14, lines 24-35, and claims 1 and 10.